

Minutes Protos Community Forum – Energy from Waste Facility Planning Consultation 25 May 2016 6:30 pm Thornton Science Park

Present:

Protos Community Forum:

Cllr. Tim Lloyd, Ince Parish Council Cllr. Andrew Eardley, Ince Parish Council Cllr. Sarah Temple, Helsby Parish Council Cllr. Stephen Smith, Elton ward, Cheshire West & Chester Council Cllr. Roy Greenwood, Little Stanney and District Parish Council Keith Butterick, Secretary

Project Team:

Jane Gaston, Peel Environmental Lois Kay, Peel Environmental Jayne Hennessy, Peel Environmental Myles Kitcher, Peel Environmental Steve Bell, Turley Amy Longmore, Turley Polly Bentham, RSK Rosalind Flavell, Fichtner David Speddings, Race Cottam Lewis Jones, PPS Neil Grimstone, Covanta Vin Bolognini, Covanta

Issues Discussed	Discussion
1. Apologies	Cllr. Tony Mills, Elton Parish Council (Chairman) Cllr. Diane Roberts, Netherpool ward, Cheshire West & Chester
	Council Cllr. Pat Merrick, Rossmore ward, Cheshire West & Chester Council Cllr. Caroline Ashton, Frodsham Town Council
	Cllr. Andrew Dawson, Frodsham ward, Cheshire West & Chester Council
	Cllr. Alan McKie Helsby ward, Cheshire West & Chester Council Cllr. Martin Dickinson, Elton Parish Council
	Justin Madders MP Ellesmere Port & Neston Mrs. Sue Pugh (Justin Madders office)
	Alex Sutherland, Environment Agency
	Rod Brookfield, Cheshire West & Chester Council Clare Appleyard, Cheshire West & Chester Council
2. Introduction	JG introduced the project team:



	Covanta: Plant operator
	Turleys: Planning adviser
	RSK: Environmental adviser
	Fichnter: Air quality adviser
	Race Cottam: Architects
	PPS: Community Engagement
2 Dianning Brasson	
3. Planning Process	SB: Outlined the current permission and detailed the new application on Plot 8. The consented scheme allows for 600,000 – 850,000
	tonnes of waste throughput with a 95MW capacity. The new scheme
	will allow for 350,000 tonnes of waste throughput with a 35MW
	capacity.
	All associated committed development will remain the same as the consented scheme (ecological areas A, C, and E; first phase rail; 1 st
	phase berth).
	These are part of the planning conditions already attached to the
	development and would not change.
	SN: Would Covanta bring in materials for the EfW facility by rail?
	NG: Will depend on the nature of the contract that are available, but
	Covanta are in active discussions with organisations where rail
	transfer of feedstock is possible.
4. Covanta	NG: Outlined the revised strategy developed by Covanta for the UK
	market since losing the Merseyside Waste Contract: region wide
	EfW facilities are no longer appropriate. Covanta now looking at
	smaller sites of which in the North West there is a shortage. Covanta
	would be looking for municipal waste contracts such as Cheshire
	and Lancashire. Site should be ready to process waste for when
	these contracts became available which will assist in securing these
	contracts. Generally speaking no local authority will sign up to a
	contract if the facility is not available to take waste as they have no
	certainty that their waste will be treated. Similarly, for commercial
	and industrial waste which is intended to be treated at the facility, it
	is unlikely that any contracts will be able to be signed until the plant
	is in construction as the feedstock provider will want certainty that
	the plant is going to be available to take the waste.
5. Architects	The new plant is still proposed on the same plot as that where the
	850,000 tpa facility was intended to be built. However given it is a
	smaller plant it will have smaller footprint.
	Reduced width will allow more landscape mitigation area.
	The height of the building remains the same.
	The stack will be in the same position and will be the same height as
	previously consented.
	Some materials may be translucent as requested by Cheshire West
	and Chester Council.
6. Environmental	PB went through the EIA headings
Impact Assessment	An assessment of whether new work needs to be done for this
_	application has been undertaken based on availability of the reports
	completed for the previous planning application, subsequent
	applications, and work at the development
	Archaeology – no further work to be done



[
	Ground conditions – no further detailed work needed Noise – New work will be undertaken; working on monitoring locations Ecology – No additional work will be done Flood Risk – New flood-risk assessment will be carried out Transport – A new baseline report will be produced
7. Fichnters	RF described the approach being taken towards Air Quality assessments and confirmed that additional work would need to be done in light of new methodologies, standards, and changes in circumstances in and around the development.
	JG: Confirmed that all the commitments made in Duncan Laxen's Air Quality Monitoring report (Existing Air Quality Ince Park Area dated Feb 2013) would be fulfilled. Confirmed that baseline monitoring would be started
	Discussion about the best means of disseminating information about air quality.
8. Engagement	Letters about the new plant sent to all elected members of Cheshire West and Chester Council. In addition to the recent community newsletter introducing the EfW scheme, further newsletters will be produced as and when the application has been submitted. Final drawings will be uploaded to the Protos website by 1 st June The full application will be available to view on the website once this has been submitted and validated by CWaC. This will also be provided to community forum members on a CD/USB stick. Agreed that Peel would provide a copy of press releases by email to Forum members for information.
9. Next Steps	Final Design Plans to be made available on the Protos website 1/6/16 Scoping Report to be submitted to CwAC early June 2016 Scoping opinion to be issued to be submitted 8 th July 2016 Planning Application to be submitted middle to end of July Determination by end of 2016 Start on site – middle of 2017
10. Questions	A number of questions/points were raised during the presentation
	including:
	ST: Where will the waste come from? NG: Currently in discussion with a waste management company
	ST: BWV – are they involved?
	NG: They will be on the bid list for the contracting
	ST: Is the Bottom Ash Plant still going to be at Protos?
	JG: Advised that the Ash Plant is no longer required.